

Appendix H - Summary of written responses from the Representation period

Point of View	Supports Proposal	Against Proposal	Not For or Against	Issues with the consultation carried out	Negative impact on staff	Further to travel / Children not located close to town/shops	Use funding at Queens Park not St C and St Francis	All alternative options haven't been properly considered	Not in the best interests of the children and families	Other comments/Notes
Parent/Carer		X		X						States that there are discrepancies in the open report that recommended going to statutory notice. Goes on to highlight specific parts of the report that they either do not agree with or believe are inaccurate. Also doubts the validity and sincerity of the consultation that was carried out.
Parent/Carer		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	States that no parents opinions have been taken into consideration during the consultation and that the proposal is just a money saving exercise.
Parent/Carer		X			X	X			X	Queries the sufficiency of places if Queens Park closes
Unspecified		X			X			X	X	
Unspecified		X						X	X	
Parent/Carer		X				X			X	Some children that need the school with the hydrotherapy pool (St Francis) will be at the Autistic Unit at St Christopher's and therefore not at the same site as the facility that they currently have on site at Queens Park.
Parent/Carer		X							X	Having had their child attend both St Christopher's and Queens Park, believes only Queens Park can offer what their child requires.
Community		X				X			X	States that if it's about money surely it can be found elsewhere